7N196

# Economic Council Letter

The seed sent the sent of the sent of Published Semi-Monthly by

### NATIONAL ECONOMIC COUNCIL, Inc.

Empire State Bidg., New York 1, N. Y. 903 First National Bank Bidg., Utica 2, N. Y. 834 Investment Building, Washington 5. D. C.

friestbare **med techn**e dietesablisch error

Council Letter No. 217 June 15, 1949

## Let Congress Investigate!

In Council Letter No. 214 we wrote about the American Politburo that has seized control of American destiny—a control well-nigh as great as that of the Politburo of Soviet Russia.

Evidence mounts that that Letter was an understatement.

The fact is that Zionist and Communist influence (at times the one is stronger, at times the other—frequently they work together) has dictated and controlled practically all moves of American foreign policy since 1933.

These forces dictated the setting up of UNRRA under the control, first, of Zionist Herbert H. Lehman, and, second, of Zionist LaGuardia. UNRRA used three billions of the American taxpayers' money to strengthen Communism in Europe and thus prepare its way in America. That now seems to have been the chief purpose, though it was made to appear to the American people as purely philanthropic.

These forces arranged for the Red Army to take over a large part of Germany, our American Army falling back and giving up much territory that had been won by American blood. Then, through the Potsdam blunder, American forces were bottled up in Berlin—and the several hundred million dollar cost of the airlift was saddled upon America.

These American Polithuro forces dictated "unconditional surrender" which caused the needless death of countless Germans who were fighting for their country, as red-blooded citizens of any country always do.

They forced the American Administration to refuse the peace overtures of German leaders which would have shortened the war and saved American lives.

They were responsible for the Nuremberg trials, a moral, legal and political mistake that will some day return to plague Americans.

They were the cause of the British loan of \$3,700,000,000 in 1946, which money was turned over to the communist-influenced Labor Government of Britain, and used to build up Socialism. This money was literally forced upon Britain, and was accepted over the protest of many farsighted Englishmen who felt it meant eventual enslavement.

They were responsible for the stupendous seventeen billion dollar project of European aid. Most Americans wish to help Europe; but this aid, given only to socialist governments, had as its chief effect, and, we believe, its chief object, to strengthen Marxist Socialism in Europe.

Under this Zionist-Communist influence, untold billions of dollars of military materiel have been wantonly destroyed, the chief object of which has been to weaken American arms and to strengthen the arms of Soviet Russia.

These forces dictated the setting up of the United Nations. This monstrosity, which has not yet taken any action in the interest of America, is a most useful instrument to further the purposes of Soviet Russia and the Zionist-Communist allies.

Having been organized at the instance of the Communists and the Zionists, it presently showed its hand in railroading through a plan for the setting up of the nation of "Israel." And it is clear now that the interests of "Israel" have been for some years the chief concern of the American State Department, which Department, as we have said before, has somehow contrived to dominate all American policy, foreign and domestic. The savings of Americans and the prestige of their country have been prostituted first to create and then to subsidize and promote this state of "Israel."

### Alien Influence At Full Flood Today

The influence of the Zionists and the Communists in the molding of American policy, foreign and domestic, is not a whit less today than in the recent past. It unquestionably dictated Mr. Truman's Fair Deal program, recommended by him to the Congress last winter. If the Zionists and Communists had their way, they would force all this program through. They would then have secured absolute control over the lives and property of that vast majority of Americans who have no interest in Zionism, including those American Jews who are Americans first and who abhor Communism.

But fortunately the American people are awakening. Congress is awakening. Patriotic men of both parties in the House and Senate are today turning

against those power-gluttonous hateful and vindictive forces which have held the country in its grasp—and still do.

When Dean Acheson was nominated for Secretary of State, at the instance, we have not the slightest doubt, of the Zionists and Communists, the National Economic Council applied to be heard by the Senate Foreign Relations Committee in opposition to the appointment. We were refused point-blank. In fact, the Senate Committee refused to hear any opposition to Mr. Acheson's name.

We have reason to believe that were that comination coming before the Senate today, there were be fuller investigation of Mr. Acheson than there has then

#### Three Recent Matters

Three matters have developed recently, or have become more pronounced, which show the strangle hold of the Zionist-Communist influence on American policy.

The first of these was the refusal of the State Department even to permit the American representatives in the Assembly of the UN to vote on the question of rescinding the United Nations resolution of 1946, which resolution requested all member nations to withdraw their Ambassadors from Spain.

We pointed out in Council Letter No. 197 that this resolution was instigated at the Potsdam Conference by Stalin. To their credit, Mr. Truman and Mr. Attlee opposed the even more hostile stand which Stalin tried to persuade them to take towards Spain; and the withdrawal of the Ambassadors was a compromise.

There is no greater test of the sovereignty of any nation than its ability to control its foreign relations. Throughout history aggressive nations, in the course of their efforts to subjugate another nation, have as an early step sought to persuade such a nation to permit its foreign relations to be dictated and controlled by aggressor nations.

This is precisely what has taken place with respect to the United States. The Communists and Zionists, the world aggressors of the moment, have seized control of America's foreign relations. They dictated to the United States what its attitude should be toward Spain. Mr. Dean Acheson cravenly carried out their instructions. Of course, as it worked out, it was sought to have it appear that the action taken by the United States was its own free action.

The Department of Foreign Affairs of any nation is supposed to look out for the vital interests of that nation.

But listen to Acheson as of May 11th, when he issued a statement entitled his "Remarks on the Regime in Spain." Mr. Acheson said that—

"... the important matter is not whether we send an Ambassador [to Spain] instead of a chargé d'affaires. The important thing is what can be done to bring Spain into the community of free nations of Europe in both the economic and defense fields.

"When you think about that you discover at once that the western European governments are

opposed, and have publicly stated their opposition, to this collaboration with Stain in both the economic and decrease fields.

The one strong nation of the ten nations that signed the so-called Atlantic Pact is the United States. She will have to put up most of the money for the rearmament of the others. Indeed one British publication frankly stated recently that in all probability America would have to furnish all the fighting men besides, because Britain and the other European countries will need all of their own men for production? So we are fight back where we were in 1941 when Winston Charchill, with respect to the pending lend-lease bill, said, "You send us the tools and we will finish the job." Yet lend-lease was no sooner voted than Britain resumed her efforts to involve the United States in the war.

Incidentally, under the terms of the Atlantic Pact, should it be ratified by the United States, Spain could never be admitted to the treaty except with the acquiescence of all these ten nations. This would be enough to keep Spain out of the treaty indefinitely. On this one ground alone, even if there were other reasons for ratification of the treaty by the United States, the treaty ought to be defeated because under this provision the United States would throughout the life of the treaty be prohibited from making an alliance with Spain. Yet as matter of fact, if any alliance is to be made by us with any European country looking to the defeat of Communism, that country should be Spain.

Mr. Acheson says, in effect, that the United States should not make a treaty with Spain unless with the full consent of Britain. France and the other socialist countries whose Marxist Socialism the American taxpayer is subsidizing at vast cost. In other words, the communist or socialist-ridden nations of Europe are the ones to decide whether the United States should send an Ambassador to Spain—not we ourselves.

Even the American State Department has not hitherto fallen to so low a point in self-respect. No wonder the Soviet government has long held the American government in contempt.

While this matter was being debated in the Assembly of the United Nations, and especially after Mr. Acheson had issued his pompous statement which was supposed to elucidate his attitude, but which elucidated nothing except that he was the tool of the Communist-Zionist influence, leaders in both houses of Congress rose in indignation. Both Senator Tom Connally, Chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, and Senator Vandenberg, ranking Republican on that Committee, as well as Senators Brewster of Maine, McCarran of Nevada, Bridges of New Hampshire, and others, came out in open criticism of Acheson's attitude.

The motion to rescind the Communist-inspired United Nations' resolution of 1946 failed by four votes to get the necessary two-thirds. Yet this same Assembly of the United Nations voted to admit the new so-called State of Israel to membership. And the representatives of Israel in the United Nations promptly voted in opposition to the resumption of relations with Spain. Just one further piece of evidence that the United Nations itself, the setting up of this Com-

raf Baccon Circonola ispailed Data Jilgiraac

munist State of Israel and the attempt to outlaw Spain, are all the work of one influence—the influence of the Zionist-Communists.

## ar in their continuent. And if they are not greet that said on the said that the said they are not by add to the their arrivay. Art Aclassa's message in the paragraph of

On Sunday, May 22nd, James Forrestal, formerly Under Secretary of the Navy, Secretary of the Navy and Secretary of Defense, and one of the most unselfish and able public servants of the United States has had in many years, committed suicide.

Mr. Forrestal, who had differed widely flow established government policy with respect to weakening the life line to the world's greatest source of oil, to the setting up of Israel, to the refusal to restore diplomatic relations with Spain and to kindred matters, had for many months been brutally and cruelly attacked by radio "commentators" like "Walter Winchell" and Drew Pearson.

We have never known either of these latter "gentlemen" to say a friendly word on behalf of anyone who had opposed the domination of the United States by either Communism or Zionism. We ourselves, having constantly opposed both these alien influences, have been scornfully attacked by both.

Apparently the sensitive nature of Mr. Forrestal, who had worn himself out in the service of his country, cracked under the vituperations of these Sunday evening broadcasters and others who applied ceaseless, vindictive pressure.

The fate of Mr. Forrestal is, we believe, characteristic of the fate to which both Communists and Zionists would willingly consign any person who ventures to differ with them—however slightly. This vindictiveness is illustrated not only in driving a man to suicide, but in the constant efforts by men like "Winchell" and Pearson and others little less notorious, to assassinate or mar the character or reputation of any individual or group that ventures to stand up for truly American principles.

In passing, we have reason to believe that the growing timidity and unwillingness of many corporation managers to subscribe to organizations like NEC which stand forthrightly for America and the welfare of the American people is due to the machinations and intimidations practiced by representatives of Communism and, particularly, Zionism.

Of course, once Mr. Forrestal was gone, he had no louder singers of his praise than the very people who had been his detractors. Thus has it ever been with cowards.

# Wanton Destruction Of Military Materiel

Mr. Herbert Hoover, Chairman of the Congressional Commission that for the past two years has been making a study of the administrative departments of the Government, stated in one of his concluding reports, in substance, that a mystery surrounded the vanishing of huge quantities of military materiel, following the conclusion of hostilities in 1945. He stated that investigation might disclose a scandal even exceeding that of Pearl Harbor. He pointed out that at the end of the World War we had full materiel for 89 divisions, while

at the present time the materiel available is hardly adequate for 18 divisions.

In other words the equipment for 71 divisions had been destroyed or given away or sold under circumstances equivalent to giving it away. Indeed, there is evidence that a substantial quantity of it has found its way into the hands of Soviet Russia and her satellites.

This destruction or giving away of military, naval and air equipment was done when the controlling power in the Washington Administration was in the hands of the very people who dictated unconditional surrender by Germany and Japan, the dropping of the atomic bombs, the Nuremberg trials, and the scrapping of German industrial plants and the Morgenthau Plan generally. It was they who set up the "United Nations" which from the beginning has been an incitement to war.

These same influences have created the international alphabetical agencies such as ITO, UNESCO, IRO and the many others, any one of which has got the power to impair or destroy the independece of the United States.

The destruction of material and equipment went so far as to include precision instruments and watches; it included not only finished product but product well-nigh finished. It included jigs, dies, and perhaps even blueprints.

If Mr. Hoover's figures are correct, and he is not given to citing figures that are not, then the equipment for 71 divisions, not to speak of full pipe lines of parts which themselves represented many billions of value, has disappeared.

If the equipment of an average division is valued at, say, two hundred millions, it is not impossible that, including air equipment, from 15 to 30 billion dollars' worth of military, naval and air materiel was wantonly destroyed or given away or sold for a song. Part of the materiel given away or sold is now making possible the conquest of China by Soviet Russia, the most ghastly disaster American diplomacy has ever suffered.

All this materiel was produced by the arduous work of millions of American workers. It was produced under the supervision of managers, many of whom, like General Knudsen, went to their death as a result of overwork. It was produced by use of metals and ores of which the United States now has dwindling supplies or none at all.

And in recent months the United States has again been feverishly at work on plans to "re-arm" our own forces—and the Administration is getting ready also to re-arm Europe.

## One Pattern and the land and at the construction in the Runs Through It All the land the land

We see in all these disastrous moves or failures to move a pattern that permits only one interpretation.

Someone has said that when he sees a bird with a large body and short web-footed legs, that swims and quacks and waddles like a duck and looks like a duck it is a fair conclusion that it is a duck.

Any person of ordinary intelligence and knowledge of history, who surveys and thinks through the acts and omissions in foreign policy by the United States Government during the past 16 years is led to just one inescapable conclusion. The conclusion is that this great and formerly free and independent nation has fallen into the hands of aliens or persons of alien mind and thought; that well-nigh every step taken by our State. Department has been in the service of these aliens or alien minds; that well-nigh everything that has happened has resulted in huge cost of life, property and prestige—indeed, in disaster to the interests of the American people.

### We Address the Congress

Gentlemen of the Congress, you are not being asked by these same influences to continue huge a priations to socialist countries on the Continent of A. You have seen your clearly expressed wish that full diplomatic relations be restored with Spain flaunted in your face. For the Secretary of State is listening to others—not to you.

You are being asked to go back to a labor law that once stirred up dissension and hatred in all parts of the country. You are asked to clothe a labor-dominated Executive with power to seize private property to appease strikers. You are asked to provide so-called federal aid to education, which will mean federal control of education, and hence an aid to the totalitarians that control the Administration. You are asked to pass a bill for Socialized Medicine. You are asked to vote FEPC into law. You are asked to destroy the Constitutional rights of the States.

You are asked to pass other domestic laws which would impose further totalitarian controls upon the American people and laden their backs with additional

You are asked to ratify such agreements as the ITO, the ILO, UNESCO and others, any one of which will shorten your own authority and will hand over to alien committees, in which America will have but a single vote, absolute control over vital affairs of the people.

Lastly, you are asked to ratify this Atlantic Pact. Within three weeks will come the 173rd anniversary of the adoption of the Declaration of Independence. That Declaration and the Constitution that followed it gave the people of the United States the liberty that has enabled America to reach its present material and spiritual development.

Is the Senate of the United States going to choose this time to ratify a treaty that will mark the end of the independence of the United States?

### We Charge!

It is a time for plain speaking. We charge solemnly and publicly before the Congress of the United States that this Government is now and has long been in the control of Communism or communist-influenced persons and of the Zionists. No other explanation is possible.

The whole horrible mess in which we now find ourselves did not happen by accident. It came about by design—and we believe the designers to be the Com-

munists and the Zionists.

We ask the Congress of the United States to put aside every pending project, including the Atlantic Pact itself, and to set up a committee of its ablest and

most fearless men of both parties to sift this charge.

American people, nothing will be lost by the lapse of a year in their enactment. And if they are not good—and we are convinced they are not—we do not want them anyway. Mr. Acheson's message from Paris urging the Senate to hasten the ratification of the Atlantic Pact because it will aid him in his negotiations with Soviet Russia is simply the same old whip-lash the Zionist-Communist influence has always tretted out. His negotiations will fail anyway of any genuine aecomplishment.

It is more important for America that the Congress act with full deliberation than that it act speedily.

We submit our charge to the Congress and await its decision.

Merum Kittail

President,
NATIONAL ECONOMIC COUNCIL, Inc.

### Send subscriptions to New York Office

Subscription price of Council Letter, issued semimonthly; Action Report and Council Papers, issued occasionally; together with Council Review of Books, edited by Rose Wilder Lane and issued monthly:

12 months	\$10.00
6 months	6.90
3 months	3.50
Airmail—Domestic	11,44
Airmail-Western Europ	e 18.00
Other countries—Rates	on request

Special rate for student or teacher, \$5.00 a year.

1	to 10	V-P-V		9454	100	eac	h
11	to 99		76.7	235	7	c eac	h
*1000	ورورة فيعاهل		رجره فرو	\$4.	UU p	er 10	U.

Special prices for larger quantities on request Please add 2 per cent sales tax for deliveries in Greater New York.

\* Plus 3% shipping charges.

#### To All Interested Readers:

Obtaining new subscriptions to Economic Council publications can result in a tidy increase of income either to retired persons or to young men or women.

Perhaps your son or daughter, or some friend, would enjoy being our subscription representative during the summer months. Among your acquaintances many may be as eager as yourself to read Council publications—when they know about them.

Write us for details. Address:

Special Assistant Secretary
7501 Empire State Building

New York 1, N. Y.

This Council Letter may be quoted in whole or part, provided due credit is given to the National Economic Council, Inc., and provided the quotation is specified to be from Economic Council Letter No. 217, June 15, 1949.